RESEARCH

BMC Veterinary Research

Open Access

Genetic diversity of *Streptococcus agalactiae*

Gabriela Gerez^{1†}, Luciana Belén Hernandez^{1†}, Jimena Cadona¹, Andrea Mariel Sanso¹ and Ana Victoria Bustamante^{1*}

from dairy cattle with mastitis in Argentina

Abstract

Background Bovine mastitis is an important health problem in dairy cattle which affects the quality and yield of milk and causes significant economic losses in the dairy industry. *Streptococcus agalactiae* is a Gram-positive and zoonotic bacterium that causes clinical and subclinical contagious bovine mastitis. The main strategy for the control of this pathogen in dairy herds is the antimicrobial therapy. The aim of this study was to determine the genetic diversity of *S. agalactiae* using Multiple Locus Variable number tandem repeat -VNTR- Analysis (MLVA), serotypes, virulence factors (VF) and antimicrobial resistance (AMR) profiles and to compare the discrimination power of these different methods in strains isolated from cattle with mastitis in Argentinian dairy farms.

Results Eighty-seven *S. agalactiae* isolates obtained from dairy cattle with mastitis in Argentina were analyzed. The detected serotypes were III, II and Ia. The most frequent virulence and AMR detected genes were *cpsA*, *hylB*, *PI-2b*, *cylE*, *rib*, *spb1*, and *tetO* and *ermB* respectively. A total of 36 VF + AMR profiles were detected with a discriminatory power of the method of Ds = 0.96. The MLVA based on six VNTRs showed 29 profiles with a Ds = 0.90. The analysis of VF + AMR + MLVA data together showed 59 profiles with an increased discriminatory power (Ds = 0.98).

Conclusion This study highlights that the MLVA is recommended to add to other methodologies in order to study epidemiological relationships in this species Although within each dairy farm there was a predominance of certain serotypes/virulence profiles, the characteristics did not show total homogeneity, as expected due to the contagious nature of the pathogen. This suggests the incorporation of animals from other herds at some point, a practice not uncommon among dairy farms in Argentina. By other hand, the detection of a same clone in the same farm in different periods confirms that *S. agalactiae* strains can persist on dairy farms for a long time.

Keywords Streptococcus agalactiae, Mastitis, Dairy cattle, Genetic diversity, MLVA

[†]Gabriela Gerez and Luciana Belén Hernandez contributed equally to this work and share first authorship.

*Correspondence: Ana Victoria Bustamante

avbustaman@vet.unicen.edu.ar

¹ Laboratorio de Inmunoquímica y Biotecnología, Centro de Investigación Veterinaria de Tandil (CIVETAN), CONICET, CIC, Facultad de Ciencias Veterinarias, UNCPBA, 7000 Tandil, Buenos Aires, Argentina

Background

Bovine mastitis is an important health problem in dairy cattle which affects the quality and yield of milk [1]. Some of the major etiological species belong to the genus *Streptococcus*, where *S. agalactiae* and *S. uberis*, can be distinguished as contagious and environmental, respectively. Another pathogen related to mastitis of environmental origin is *Escherichia coli* [2].

S. agalactiae, a Gram-positive bacterium, causes clinical and subclinical contagious bovine mastitis. It can survive inside the mammary gland for a long time and, at present, it has been demonstrated that the bacteria can



© The Author(s) 2025. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if you modified the licensed material. You do not have permission under this licence to share adapted material derived from this article or parts of it. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

survive in extramammary sources [3]. Also, there is evidence that suggests interspecific transmission from cattle to humans and vice-versa and, therefore, it is considered a zoonotic pathogen [4].

The main strategy for the control of S. agalactiae in dairy herds infections is the antimicrobial therapy. Penicillin is the first option used for the prevention and treatment of S. agalactiae infections, however reports of reduced susceptibility to penicillin have been published [5, 6]. Alternative antibiotics such as macrolides and lincosamides are used, but increased resistance to them has been documented worldwide [7]. Also, isolates with reduced penicillin susceptibility, tend to be resistant to other antibiotics such as fluoroquinolones and macrolides, and exhibit multidrug-resistance [8]. Antimicrobial resistance is an area of concern in both human and veterinary medicine [9]. Strain characterization and surveillance are important to obtain information that allows evaluating the level and evolution of antimicrobial resistance [10]. Some of the antimicrobial resistance genes detected in S. agalactiae are erm, lnuB, tet, and aphA3/ aad6, involved in resistance to macrolides, lincosamides, tetracyclines and aminoglycosides [11, 12].

Virulence factors contribute to the pathogenesis infection of S. agalactiae, allowing the colonization and invasion of epithelial barriers, immune system evasion and persistence in host tissues [13]. Among the virulence factors that have been detected in bovine isolates are the capsular polysaccharide, encoded by cpsA, cell surface proteins mediating adherence and invasion such as C5a peptidase, encoded by *scpB*, α -C protein (*bca*), β -C protein (bac), Rib (rib) and laminin binding protein (lmb) [14]. Extracellular toxins and enzymes capable of forming pores have been described, such as that encoded by the *cylE* gene [15–18]. The product of gene *hylB*, a secreted hyaluronate lyase, can hydrolyze hyaluronan polymers, suggesting that this enzyme can facilitate the spread of bacteria during infection [15]. Other surface structures, such as *pili*, are also crucial virulence factors that promote the adherence and attachment of S. agalactiae to host cells. Pili are encoded by two loci in different regions of the genome designated *PI-1* and *PI-2*, with the latter presenting two distinct variants, PI-2a and PI-2b [19].

CPS is the primary virulence determinant which confers anti-phagocytic properties and plays a pivotal role in evading host defense mechanisms [20]. Differences in CPS allow to distinguish *S. agalactiae* into 10 different serotypes (Ia, Ib, II, III, IV, V, VI, VII, VIII, IX) [21–23], but this classification does not have the enough differentiating power to discriminate between isolates [24]. Genetic diversity and clonal relatedness of *S. agalactiae* strains from human and animal infections have been previously demonstrated by pulsed-field gel

electrophoresis (PFGE) [25]. Other efficient subtyping methods like Multiple Locus Variable number tandem repeat-VNTR- Analysis (MLVA) are faster and with greater resolution power [24, 26, 27], and it has been extensively used for genotyping isolates of various bacterial species [28]. The MLVA identifies a variable number of tandem repeat (VNTR) in several loci. The VNTRs loci are dispersed throughout the bacterial genomes and they comprise short nucleotide sequences, called Repeat Units (RU) which can differ in the number of copies inside the tandem at each locus.

The objective of this study was to determine the genetic diversity of *S. agalactiae* strains isolated from dairy cattle with mastitis in Argentina using different methods: MLVA, data on serotype, virulence and antimicrobial resistance profile, in combination or separately to compare their discrimination power.

Results

Eighty-seven *S. agalactiae* isolates obtained from dairy farms located in one of the largest milk-producing regions of Argentina, were molecularly characterized. The isolates belonged to serotypes III (63.2%), II (26.4%), and Ia (5.8%), while the remaining 4.6% were not typeable (NT). The most frequently detected virulence genes were *cpsA* and *hylB* (100% of the isolates), followed for the *pilus* gene *PI-2b* (93%) and *cylE* (89%). *PI-2b* was absent only in six isolates (B69, B81; B86, B89; B95; B97) mean-while the genes *bac*, *lmb*, *scpB*, *hvgA* and the *pili PI-1 / PI-2a* were not detected in any isolates. In relation to antimicrobial resistance genes, *tetO* and *ermB* were the most frequently detected genes (64% and 54%, respectively) meanwhile *tetM* and *lnuB*, the less frequent ones (Table 1).

Taking into account the virulence factors (VF) and antimicrobial resistance (AMR) genes, 36 genetic profiles were identified, with 18 clusters grouping two to eleven isolates and 18 singletons (Fig. 1). The Simpson's diversity indices for the VF and VF + AMR analyses were $D_s = 0.85$ and $D_s = 0.96$, respectively.

The MLVA genotype was expressed as an allelic string profile: SAG2, SAG3, SAG4, SAG7, SAG21, SAG22. The number of alleles detected per locus varied between 2 (SAG21) and 5 (SAG4), with the SAG4 and SAG22 markers showing the highest Nei's diversity indices (D_N =0.74 and 0.73, respectively) (Table 2; Fig. 2). The UPGMA clustering MLVA analysis revealed 29 different genotypes, which were distributed in 14 clusters with two to 22 isolates and 15 singletons (Fig. 3). The Simpson's diversity index for the MLVA was D_S =0.90. Dairy farm A, represented with 28 isolates, collected between 2017 and 2018, showed the greatest diversity of MLVA profiles (11) followed by farm B, with 7 MLVA profiles which

Dairy farms (N)	Virulence factor genes (N)								Antimicrobial resistance genes (N)				
	bca	rib	spb1	hylB	cylE	PI-2b	cpsA	ermB	tetM	tet0	InuB	aad6	aphA3
Farm A (N=28)	0,071 (2)	0,89 (25)	1 (28)	1 (28)	1 (28)	1 (28)	1 (28)	0,25 (7)	0	0,5 (14)	0,036 (1)	0	0,25 (7)
Farm B ($N = 11$)	0,09 (1)	0,27 (3)	1 (11)	1 (11)	1 (11)	1 (11)	1 (11)	1 (11)	0	1 (11)	0,18 (2)	0	0,27 (3)
Farm C ($N=2$)	0,5 (1)	0	0,5 (1)	1 (2)	1 (2)	0,5 (1)	1 (2)	0	0,5 (1)	0,5 (1)	0	0	0,5 (1)
Farm D ($N = 7$)	1 (7)	0	1 (7)	1 (7)	1 (7)	1 (7)	1 (7)	0	0,143 (1)	0,143 (1)	0	0	0,143 (1)
Farm E ($N=3$)	1 (3)	0	1 (3)	1 (3)	1 (3)	1 (3)	1 (3)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Farm G (N=5)	1 (5)	1 (5)	1 (5)	1 (5)	1 (5)	1 (5)	1 (5)	0	0,4 (2)	0	0	0	1 (5)
Farm H ($N = 1$)	1 (1)	0	1 (1)	1 (1)	1 (1)	1 (1)	1 (1)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Farm I ($N = 2$)	0,5 (1)	1 (2)	0,5 (2)	1 (2)	1 (2)	1 (2)	1 (2)	1 (2)	0	1 (2)	0	0	0,5 (1)
Farm J (N=28)	0,18 (5)	0,96 (27)	0,036 (1)	1 (28)	0,64 (18)	0,82 (23)	1 (28)	0,96 (27)	0,11 (3)	0,96 (27)	0	0,75 (21)	0,43 (12)
Total (N=87)	0,3 (26)	0,71 (62)	0,67 (58)	1 (87)	0,88 (77)	0,93 (81)	1 (87)	0,54 (47)	0,08 (7)	0,64 (56)	0,03 (3)	0,24 (21)	0,34 (30)

Table 1 Virulence and antimicrobial resistance genes frequencies in Argentinian bovine S. agalactiae isolates. N: number of isolates

grouped 11 isolates collected during 2016–2018. Seven MLVA profiles were detected in isolates from different dairy farms. On the other hand, two profiles grouped isolates from the same farm (J) with different virulence profiles (Fig. 3).

Table 3 shows the discrimination power of the different methods applied to study genetic diversity in *S. agalactiae* isolates. The greatest power of discrimination is achieved by analyzing all the characters together $(D_S = 0.98)$.

Table 4 summarizes the genetic diversity of each dairy farm evidenced by their VF, AMR and MLVA profiles. Taking into account genetic information of VF, AMR and MLVA data, 59 genetic profiles were detected. The dendrogram presented two principal branches, one of which was integrated only by strains from dairy farm J, of which all, with the exception of one, harbored the aminoglycoside resistance gene *aad6* (Fig. 4).

For the correlation analysis between MLVA profiles and virulence/ resistance genes, the correlations between the MLVA A (2, 2, 0, 2, 3, 4), MLVA B (2, 1, 1, 0, 2, 3), and MLVA C (1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2) and the presence/ absence of bca, rib, spb1, cylE, PI-2b, ermB, tetM, tetO, lnuB, aad6, aphA3 were analyzed. MLVA A exhibited statistically significant correlations with aad6 (r=0.95, p < 0.0001), a moderate positive correlation with *ermB* (r=0.56, p=0.00012), rib (r=0.47, p=0.0017), and tet O(r=0.39, p=0.011) and a strong negative correlation with *spb1* (r = -1.00, p < 0.0001). MLVA B was significantly correlated with spb1 (r=0.66, p=0.0000017) and aad6 (r=-0.63, p=0.000007), meanwhile a negative correlation was observed with *ermB* (r = -0.88, p < 0.0001) and *tetO* (r=-0.63, p=0.0000069). MLVA C showed positive significant correlations with *spb1* (r=0.51, p=0.00058), and *ermB* (r=0.31, p=0.048) and a strong negative correlation with *rib* (r = -0.92, p < 0.0001).

Also, a correlation analysis was conducted between dairy farms A, B, D, G, J and genetic markers of virulence and resistance. Dairy farm A exhibited a positive correlation with spb1 (r=0.52, p<0.0001) and a negative correlation with *ermB* (r=-0.51, p<0.0001). Additionally, significant negative correlations were found with bca, tetO, and aad6, and positive correlation, with cylE. In relation to dairy farm B, a negative correlation was found with *rib* (r=-0.42, p<0.0001). In addition, weak positive correlations were observed with lnuB, tetO, ermB and spb1. Dairy farm D showed a negative correlation with *rib* (r = -0.55, p < 0.0001), and a positive correlation with *bca* (r=0.54, p<0.0001), meanwhile, dairy farm G showed moderate positive correlation with *bca* (r=0.45, p < 0.0001), tetM (r = 0.32, p < 0.01), and aphA3 (r = 0.35, p < 0.01). Finally, dairy farm J was the one that showed outstanding correlations. It was strongly and negatively correlated with *spb1* (r = -0.97, p < 0.0001) and positively, with *aad6* (r=0.81, p<0.0001). In addition, significant negative correlation was detected with *cylE* (r = -0.51, p < 0.0001) and positive, with ermB (r = 0.59) and, tetO (r=0.46).

Discussion

In this study, we described the genetic diversity in relation to virulence, antimicrobial resistance and multi locus VNTR analysis (MLVA) of *S. agalactiae* recovered from cows with mastitis in Argentina. MLVA, a typing method based on tandem repeat polymorphisms at multiple loci, has been successfully applied to many other bacterial species and, recently, to study human isolates of this species [7, 30]. We investigated the relevance of this tool for genotyping bovine isolates of *S. agalactiae* and compared it with methods that use other genetic characters.

An important genetic diversity among the bovine isolates of *S. agalactiae* obtained from different dairy farms



Fig. 1 Cluster analysis of *S. agalactiae* isolated from dairy cattle with mastitis in Argentina based on virulence and AMR profiles. The presence (black) or absence (white) of genes, the isolate name, dairy farm, isolation year, and serotype of the isolates are shown. NT: non-typeable. Genes not found in any of the studied isolates: *bac, Imb, hvgA, PI-1, PI-2a*, and *scpB*

Table 2 VNTR loci characterization

VNTR loci	Repeat Unit (RU) size (bp)	Number of observed alleles ^a	D _N ^b
SAG2	32	3	0.29
SAG3	24	3	0.53
SAG4	60	5	0.74
SAG7	18	3	0.49
SAG21	48	2	0.46
SAG22	159	5	0.73

^a null alleles were taken into account

 $^{\rm b}$ Nei's diversity index, calculated by $D_{\rm N}\!=\!1\!\!-\!\Sigma(\text{fra})^2$, where fra is the allelic frequency)

in Argentina, as well as the presence of different clones within one of the dairy farms included in this study, were detected by MLVA. We could identify 29 distinct MLVA profiles with a Simpson's diversity index (D_s) of 0.90 among the 87 bovine mastitis isolates analysed. Other authors, who applied MLVA to study human S. agalac*tiae* strains, obtained D_s values from 0.84 to 0.88 [13, 27, 30]. Interestingly, Haguenoer et al. [24] calculated a D_s value of 0.96 in an analysis that included human and bovine strains. These data could be indicating that bovine isolates are more diverse in relation to VNTR loci than human ones. On the other hand, several virulence and antimicrobial susceptibility profiles associated with S. agalactiae intramammary infections were detected. According to our results and comparing them with previous works in which MLVA was applied [7, 27, 30], it can state that this methodology shows a satisfactory discriminatory power in order to genotype S. agalactiae isolates. Most shared MLVA profiles were presented by isolates from the same dairy farm, with the exception of isolates from farms A and B which shared profiles and one isolates from farm I which was included among farm J isolates. In the first case, it is known that there was an exchange of cattle between both dairy farms (A and B).

The complement of the MLVA data with data from genetic profiles of virulence and AMR increased the discrimination power (D_S : 0.98 versus 0.90). Our results show that, therefore, MLVA, is recommended to add to other methodologies in order to study epidemiological relationships between *S. agalactiae* strains. On the other hand, correlation analysis suggests that the presence or absence of specific genes could be related to different MLVA profiles and/or sources (dairy farms).

Not all farms in this study had a specific MLVA profile, in agreement with other authors, who also found the presence of profiles unique to some dairy farms, different profiles within the same farm, and profiles shared by isolates from farms located in different regions, obtained at different times [27]. Dairy farms in Argentina tend, at times, to produce movements with the cattle due to the sale/trade of milking cows. Transmission of *S. agalactiae* is therefore likely between farms. On farms with multiple samples (A, B, J), strains with identical or single locus variant profiles were found over a period of several years, indicating subclinical infection. On the other hand, several profiles were detected intra-farm supporting the occurrence of different clones (farms A, B, D, J).

The most prevalent serotypes detected among bovine *S. agalactiae* were III (63%) and II (26%), followed by Ia (6%). The most frequently detected virulence genes were



■ Nule ■ 1 ■ 2 ■ 3 ■ 4

Fig. 2 VNTR allelic frequencies distibution *per locus* in the analysed Argentinian bovine *S. agalactiae* isolates. Alleles are indicated in colours: nule: green, 1: light blue, 2: yellow, 3: dark green, and 4: blue

MLVA VF AMR	MLVA VF	AMR									
				_							
0 0 0 0 0 0	SAG2	SAG4	SAG7	SAG2	SAGZ	Key	Dairy farm	Year	Serotype	Virulence profile	RAM profile
······Ÿ·····Ÿ·····Ÿ·····Ÿ·····Ÿ·····Ÿ	2	2 4	2	2	1	B_66	D	2019		bca, spb1, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	
	2	2 4	2	2	1	B_67	D	2019	Ш	bca, spb1, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	tetM
	2	2 4	2	2	2	B_22	А	2017	Ш	rib, spb1, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	ermB, tetO
	2	2 3	2	2	1	B_65	E	2019		bca, spb1, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	
	2	2 4	0	2	2	B_26 B_42	A D	2017		nb, spb1, nyiB, cyiE, PI-2b, cpsA bca spb1 by/B cy/E PI-2b cpsA	
	2	1 4	2	2	2	B 43	D	2018		bca, spb1, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	aphA
	2	1 4	2	2	2	_ В_45	D	2018	Ш	bca, spb1, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	
	2	1 4	2	2	2	B_46	D	2018	Ш	bca, spb1, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	
	2	1 4	2	2	3	B_31	А	2017	Ш	rib, spb1, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	
	2	1 4	2	2	3	B_41	C	2018	la 	bca, spb1, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	tetM, tetO, aphA3
	2	1 4	2	2	0	B_68	5	2019	II NT	bca, spb1, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	tetO
	2	1 3	2	2	2	B_4/	E	2010	1	bca, spb1, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	
	2	2 3	2	3	2	B_63	н	2019	la	bca, spb1, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	
	1	1 2	2	2	2	B_39	А	2018	Ш	rib, spb1, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	ermB, tetO, InuB
	1	1 2	0	2	2	B_50	в	2018	NT	spb1, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	ermB, tetO
	1	1 2	2	2	3	B_40	В	2018	Ш	rib, spb1, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	ermB, tetO
	1	2 2	2	2	0	B_3	В	2016		spb1, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	ermB, tetO
	1	2 2	2	2	0	B_4 B 55	в 4	2016		spb1, nyiB, cyiE, PI-2D, cpsA	ermB, tetO, inuB ermB, tetO, anhA3
	1	2 2	2	2	2	B 1	в	2016		spb1, hvlB, cvlE, PI-2b, cpsA	ermB, tetO
	1	2 2	2	2	2	B_12	A	2017		bca, rib, spb1, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	ermB, tetO
	1	2 2	2	2	2	B_13	А	2017	la	spb1, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	ermB, tetO
	1	2 2	2	2	2	B_14	A	2017	Ш	spb1, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	ermB, tetO
	1	2 2	2	2	2	B_15	А	2017	NT	spb1, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	ermB, tetO
	1	2 2	2	2	2	B_2	В	2016		spb1, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	ermB, tetO, aphA3
	1	2 2	2	2	2	B_7	В	2016		spb1, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	ermB, tetO
	1	2 2	2	2	2	B_9	в	2016		spb1, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	ermB, tetO and6 anhA2
	2	2 0	2	3	4	B 79	J	2021		rib, hvlB, cvlE, PI-2b, cpsA	ermB, tetO, aad6
	2	2 0	2	3	4	B_80	J	2021	ш	rib, hylB, Pi-2b, cpsA	ermB, tetO, aad6, aphA3
	2	2 0	2	3	4	B_81	J	2021	Ш	rib, hylB, cylE, cpsA	ermB, tetM, tetO, aad6
	2	2 0	2	3	4	B_82	J	2021	Ш	rib, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	ermB, tetO, aad6
	2	2 0	2	3	4	B_83	J	2021	III	rib, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	ermB, tetM, tetO, aad6, aphA3
	2	2 0	2	3	4	B_84	J	2021		rib, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	ermB, tetM, tetO, aad6, aphA3
	2	2 0	2	3	4	B_85	J	2021		rib, hylB, PI-2b, cpsA	ermB, tetO, aad6
	2	2 0	2	3	4	B_00	J	2021		rib hvlB cylE PI-2b cosA	ermB, tetO, aado
	2	2 0	2	3	4	B 88	J	2021		rib, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	ermB, tetO, aad6
	2	2 0	2	3	4	B_89	J	2021	Ш	rib, hylB, cpsA	aad6
	2	2 0	2	3	4	B_90	J	2022	Ш	rib, hylB, PI-2b, cpsA	ermB, tetO, aad6, aphA3
Ы	2	2 0	2	3	4	B_91	J	2022	Ш	rib, hylB, PI-2b, cpsA	ermB, tetO, aad6, aphA3
	2	2 0	2	3	4	B_92	J	2022		rib, hylB, PI-2b, cpsA	ermB, tetO, aad6
	2	2 0	2	3	4	B_93	J	2022		rib, nyiB, PI-2b, cpsA	ermB, tetO, aad6, aphA3
	2	2 0	2	3	4	B 95	J	2022		rib, hvlB, cosA	ermB, tetO, aad6
	2	2 0	2	3	4	B 96	J	2022		rib, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	ermB, tetO, aad6
	2	2 0	2	3	4	B_97	J	2022	Ш	rib, hylB, cylE, cpsA	ermB, tetO, aad6, aphA3
	2	2 0	2	3	4	B_98	J	2022	Ш	rib, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	ermB, tetO, aad6, aphA3
 '	2	2 0	2	3	4	B_99	J	2022	III	rib, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	ermB, tetO, aad6, aphA3
	2	2 0	0	2	0	B_69	С	2020		hylB, cylE, cpsA	
	0	0 0	2	2	3	B_49 P_70	в	2018		nb, spb1, nyiB, cyiE, PI-2b, cpsA	ermB, tetO, InuB, apnA3
	2	1 1	2	3	3	B_73	1	2020		bca, rib, spb1, riyib, cyiE, PI-2b, cpsA	ermB, tetO
	2	1 1	2	3	3	B_74	J	2021	111	bca, rib, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	ermB, tetO
	2	1 1	2	2	3	B_25	А	2017	Ш	rib, spb1, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	tetO
	2	1 1	2	2	3	B_8	в	2016	Ш	spb1, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	ermB, tetO
	2	1 1	2	2	4	B_57	G	2019	III	bca, rib, spb1, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	tetM, aphA3
	2	2 1	0	2	3	B_32	A	2017		rib, spb1, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	tetO
	2	2 1	0	2	3	B_30	A	2017		rib spb1, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	anhA3
	2	1 1	0	2	4	B 58	G	2019		bca, rib, spb1, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	tetM, aphA3
	2	1 1	0	2	4	B_59	G	2019	ш	bca, rib, spb1, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	aphA3
	2	1 1	0	2	4	B_60	G	2019	ш	bca, rib, spb1, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	aphA3
	2	1 1	0	2	3	B_17	A	2017	III	rib, spb1, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	
	2	1 1	0	2	3	B_19	A	2017	III	rib, spb1, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	tetO
	2	1 1	0	2	3	B_21	A	2017		rib, spb1, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	
	2	1 1	0	2	3	B_23	A 	2017		rib, spb1, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	totO anhA3
	2	1 1	0	2	3	B_24	A	2017		rib, spb1, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	aphA
	2	1 1	0	2	3	B 29	A	2017		rib, spb1, hvIB, cvIE, PI-2b, cpsA	
	2	1 1	ő	2	3	B_33	А	2017	ш	rib, spb1, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	tetO
	2	1 1	0	2	3	B_35	A	2017	ш	rib, spb1, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	
	2	1 1	0	2	3	B_36	А	2017	Ш	rib, spb1, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	
	2	1 1	0	2	3	B_52	в	2018		bca, rib, spb1, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	ermB, tetO, aphA3
'	2	1 1	0	2	3	B_54	A	2017		nb, spb1, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	tetO, aphA3
	2	1 1	0	2	2	B_01 R 19	A	2019		rib, spb1, hylB, cvIE, PI-20, cpsA	apiMə
	2	1 1	1	2	3	B 20	A	2017		rib, spb1, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	
	2	1 1	1	2	3	B_27	А	2017	ш	rib, spb1, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	aphA
	2	1 1	1	2	3	B_34	А	2017	Ш	rib, spb1, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	tetO, aphA3
	2	1 1	0	3	3	B_75	J	2021	Ш	bca, rib, spb1, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	ermB, tetO
'	2	1 1	0	3	3	B_76	J	2021	III NT	bca, rib, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	ermB, tetO, aphA3
	2	1 1	0	3	0	B_71	1	2020	NI	rib, spb1, hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	ermB, tetO, aphA3 ermB, tetO
<u> </u>	2	1 1	U	3	0	B 78	J	2021	la	hylB, cylE, PI-2b, cpsA	ermB, tetO

Fig. 3 Cluster analysis of *S. agalactiae* isolated from dairy cattle with mastitis in Argentina based on MLVA profiles. MLVA profiles which were included in correlation analysis are colored: MLVA A: blue, MLVA B: green, and MLVA C: purple

Table 3 Comparison of the discrimination power of the different methods applied to study Argentinian bovine *S. agalactiae* isolates

	Number of	D a
Method	profiles	Ds
VF profiles analysis	11	0.85
VF and AMR profiles analysis	36	0.96
MLVA	29	0.90
VF and AMR profiles analysis + MLVA	59	0.98

^a Simpson's diversity index, calculated according to Hunter and Gaston [29]

cpsA and hlyB (100% of the isolates), followed for the pilus gene PI-2b (93%) and cylE (89%). The PI-2b pilus variant is associated with bovine isolates [31] and, in this study was absent only in six isolates (B69, B81; B86, B89; B95; B97) meanwhile *cylE* which encodes a β -hemolysin which causes tissue damage and the systemic spread of the bacteria and is involved in the recruitment of cytotoxic and pro-inflammatory cytokines [17] was absent in ten isolates. Particularly, all the negative- PI-2b- cylE isolates were from the same dairy farm (J). On the other hand, genes bac, lmb, scpB, hvgA, and the pili PI-1/PI-2a were not detected in any isolates. These results agree with those of other authors, who described some of these genes as typical of human isolates [32, 33]. However, in relation to *scpB*, more recently Parasana et al. [34] detected it in S. agalactiae milk isolates.

In Argentinian dairy farms, to treat mastitis via the intramammary route, the groups of antibiotics most used are beta-lactams, macrolides and aminoglycosides, while tetracyclines (together with sulphonamides and quinolones) are administered, mainly, systemically, to other infections [35, 36]. The presence of genetic determinants of AMR was heterogeneous among the nine dairy farms. Isolates from D and E farms present only one AMR gene of the six analyzed and, on the contrary, the isolates from farm J, up to five AMR genes were detected. Regarding

the ermB gene, encoding cross-resistance between macrolides and lincosamides (erythromycin and clindamycin-pirlimycin), it was detected in more than 50% of the bovine isolates meanwhile *lnuB*, which confers resistance exclusively to lincosamides, only in three isolates (farms A and B). The high level of kanamycin resistance detected by our group in previous studies [37, 38] can be explained by the *aphA3* presence. This gene encodes a phosphotransferase that confers resistance to kanamycin and mediates synergism with beta-lactams [39]. On the other hand, a group of isolates from farm J harbored aad6, an aminoglycoside acetyltransferase encoding-gene, which also eliminates the synergism between cell wall-active antimicrobials and aminoglycosides [39]. Studies carried out in Brazil did not detect aphA3 or aad6 [40]. However, other ones carried out in France [41] described the presence of aphA3 as responsible for high levels of resistance to kanamycin and, of *aad6*, as responsible for across resistance to kanamycin and streptomycin and, in a study from China [42], this gene was associated with gentamicin and amikacin resistance.

Tetracycline resistance is explained mostly by the presence of ribosome protection gene *tetO* and *tetM*. The gene *tetO* is the most common gene in bovine *S. agalactiae* strains [43, 44]. Only in few isolates both tetracycline resistance determinants were detected in combination (B41, B83, B84, B81). The majority of *tet* genes are associated with mobile genetic elements (MGE) [45, 46]. On the other hand, MGE in which tetracycline resistance genes are present, also contain AMR determinants of aminoglycosides, macrolides and lincosamides [44, 47– 49]. In our study, the presence of *ermB/tetO*, macrolide/ tetracycline resistance genes among isolates considered unrelated (assessed by MLVA) suggests a possible horizontal transfer of these genes.

Regarding the complementation of MLVA with virulence and AMR genetic profiles data, correlation analysis showed different associations between specific genes and

Dairy farm Number of isolates		Serotypes	VF profiles	AMR profiles	MLVA profiles	
A	28	III (20), II (6), Ia (1), NT (1)	3	7	11	
В	11	II (8), la (1), lll (1), NT (1)	3	4	7	
С	2	la, III	2	2	2	
D	7	II	1	4	3	
E	3	II, NT (1)	1	1	3	
G	5	III	1	2	3	
Н	1	la	1	1	1	
1	2	III, NT	2	2	2	
J	28	III , la (1)	7	6	4	

Table 4 Genetic diversity of S. agalactiae population of each dairy farm assessed on number of serotypes and genotypes detected

MLVA FV RAM

MLVA VF AMR





dairy farms. In particular, dairy farm A was correlated with *spb1* presence, farm D, with the presence of *bca* and the absence of *rib*, and farm J, very noticeably, with the presence of *aad6* and the absence of *spb1*, added to the presence of *ermB* and *tetO*, and the absence of *cylE*.

Conclusions

This study highlights that MLVA is recommended to add to other methodologies in order to study epidemiological relationships in S. agalactiae. Bovine mastitis caused by this pathogen is responsible for one of the main types of contagious mastitis in dairy farms. The infected cow is the primary source of infection within the herd and the infection typically spreads from cow to cow during milking. Although in this study within each dairy farm there was a predominance of certain serotypes/virulence profiles, the characteristics did not show total homogeneity, as expected due to the contagious nature of the pathogen. This suggests the incorporation of animals from other herds at some point, a practice not uncommon among dairy farms in Argentina. By other hand, the detection of a same clone in different samples carried out in the same farm in different periods confirms that S. agalactiae strains can persist on dairy farms for a long time, more than a year in this study, 2-12 months according to Wataradee et al. [50]. On the other hand, correlation analyses suggest that the presence or absence of specific genes could be related to different MLVA profiles and/or dairy farms. This information could lead to better control and prevention strategies in the dairy sector in Argentina.

Methods

S. agalactiae isolates

A total of 87 *S. agalactiae* isolates collected between 2016 and 2022, from nine dairy farms (A-G, I, J) located in the Cuenca Mar y Sierras, Argentina, were studied. They were obtained from milk of cows presenting clinical or subclinical mastitis. Isolates were stored at - 80 °C.

Molecular confirmation of species and serotype, virulence factors (VF) and resistance antimicrobial (AMR) genes identification

Fifty-six isolates had been previously analyzed by Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) for molecular confirmation of species and serotype, virulence factors and antibiotic resistance genes identification [37] and, using the same PCR conditions, 31 isolates (B69-B100) were analyzed in this study. Briefly, the DNA were obtained by boiling bacterial colonies suspended in sterile water for 10 min. The species was confirmed by amplifying the *dltR* gene [51] and the serotype assigned by a multiplex PCR (capsular types Ia, Ib, II-IX) according to Imperi et al. [52]. A total of ten virulence genes: *bac, bca, rib, spb1*

[53], *cpsA*, *scpB* [54], *cylE*, *hylB* [13], *hvgA* [51], *lmb* [44], plus three *pili* genes *PI-1*, *PI 2a*, and *PI-2b* [55] were identified according to the reference conditions. The antibiotic resistance genotype was performed amplifying some genes representatives of important groups in which phenotypic antimicrobial resistance was detected: the macrolide resistance gene *ermB* [56], tetracycline resistance genes *tetM* and, *tetO* [57], lincosamide resistance genes *aphA3* and *aad6* [41]. PCR products were plated on 2% agarose gels containing 1 µg/ml ethidium bromide, run by electrophoresis for 30 min at 100 V and, visualized using a UV transilluminator.

Multiple locus VNTR analysis (MLVA)

Six loci VNTR, specific for S. agalactiae, were amplified with the primers described by Haguenouer et al. [24] for the 87 isolates. The PCR were carried out in two multiplex reactions, RI: SAG2, SAG4 and SAG21, and RII: SAG3, SAG7 and SAG22. Each PCR was performed in a final volume of 25 µl containing: 10 ng of DNA, 2 mM MgCl₂ (InBio Highway, Argentina), 200 µM of each dNTP (InBio Highway, Argentina), 1×Taq DNA polymerase Buffer (InBio Highway, Argentina), 1 U Taq DNA polymerase and 5 pmol of each primer (Genbiotech SRL, Argentina). Amplification was performed under the following conditions: initial denaturation for 5 min at 94 °C, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation for 1 min at 94 °C, annealing for 1 min at 50 °C and elongation for 60 s at 72 °C plus a final elongation step for 10 min at 72 °C. PCR products were plated on 2% agarose gels containing 1 μ g/ml ethidium bromide, run by electrophoresis for 30 min at 100 V and, visualized using a UV transilluminator. Allelic number names corresponded to different amplimer sizes (not to the exact number of repetitions). Absence of amplification product was considered null allele and it was designed with the number 0. Allelic variants identified for each VNTR were sequenced (Macrogen, Inc., Korea) and used as a reference size in the electrophoresis runs. The MLVA genotype of each strain were expressed as an allelic profile string: SAG2, SAG3, SAG4, SAG7, SAG21, SAG22. Each allelic profile was classified as a distinctive MLVA type (MT).

Diversity analysis

Nei's diversity index (D_N) was calculated for each locus using the formula $D_N = 1 - \sum (fr_a)^2$, where fr_a is the allelic frequency [58]. Clustering analysis (UPGMA -unweighted pair-group method with arithmetic mean-based on categorical coefficient and binary data for MLVA and virulence/RAM profiles, respectively), were constructed using BioNumerics, vs 6.6 (Applied Maths, Belgium). The discrimination power of each subtyping

Correlation analysis

Data analysis to calculate the Pearson correlation coefficients and the corresponding p-values using Python packages Scipy (1.9.3). A *p-value* of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant, indicating a meaning-ful association between the variables. Only those MLVA profiles (A, B, C) and dairy farms (A, B, D, G, J) that presented a frequency greater than 5 were considered for the analysis.

Acknowledgements

The authors thanks to Maria Rosa Ortiz for her technical assistance.

Authors' contributions

MS and AVB contributed to conception and design of the study. GG and LBH performed the molecular characterization. JSC and AVB performed the statistical analysis. MS and AVB wrote sections of the manuscript. All authors contributed to manuscript revision, read, and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This work was supported by grants from Fondo para la Investigación Científica y Tecnológica (PICT 1139–17) and Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (PIP 22–25-0035).

Data availability

No datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The work was carried out with the approval of the Ethics and Animal Welfare Committee of the Faculty of Veterinary Sciences -UNCPBA (Resolution No 087/02). Access to the dairy farms had the consent of the dairy owners.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 9 October 2024 Accepted: 10 February 2025 Published online: 13 May 2025

References

- Miranda PSD, Lannes-Costa PS, Pimentel BAS, Silva LG, Ferreira-Carvalho BT, Menezes GC, et al. Biofilm formation on different pH conditions by Streptococcus agalactiae isolated from bovine mastitic milk. Lett Appl Microbiol. 2018;67:235–43.
- 2. Bradley AJ. Bovine mastitis: an evolving disease. Vet J. 2002;164:116-28.
- Cobo-Ángel C, Jaramillo-Jaramillo AS, Lasso-Rojas LM, Aguilar-Marin SB, Sanchez J, Rodriguez-Lecompte JC, et al. Streptococcus agalactiae is not always an obligate intramammary pathogen: Molecular epidemiology of GBS from milk, feces and environment in Colombian dairy herds. PLoS One. 2018;13:e0208990.
- Maity S, Ambatipudi K. Mammary microbial dysbiosis leads to the zoonosis of bovine mastitis: a One-Health perspective. FEMS Microbiol Ecol. 2021;97:fiaa241.

- Kimura K, Suzuki S, Wachino JI, Kurokawa H, Yamane K, Shibata N, et al. First molecular characterization of group B streptococci with reduced penicillin susceptibility. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2008;52:2890–7.
- McGee L, Chochua S, Li Z, Mathis S, Rivers J, Metcalf B, et al. Multistate, population-based distributions of candidate vaccine targets, clonal complexes, and resistance features of invasive group B Streptococci within the United States, 2015–2017. Clin Infect Dis. 2021;72:1004–13.
- Alzayer M, Alkhulaifi MM, Alyami A, Aldosary M, Alageel A, Garaween G, et al. Molecular typing and antimicrobial resistance of group B Streptococcus clinical isolates in Saudi Arabia. J Glob Antimicrob Resist. 2023;35:244–51.
- Kimura K, Nagano N, Nagano Y, Suzuki S, Wachino JI, Shibayama K, et al. High frequency of fluoroquinolone- and macrolide-resistant streptococci among clinically isolated group b streptococci with reduced penicillin susceptibility. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2013;68:539–42.
- Schwarz S, Silley P, Simjee S, Woodford N, van Duijkeren E, Johnson AP, et al. Assessing the antimicrobial susceptibility of bacteria obtained from animals. Vet Microbiol. 2010;141:1–4.
- OIE- World Organisation for animal Health. In: Report of the Meeting of the OIE Ad Hoc Group on Antimicrobial Resistance. Paris: 2018:22–24. https://www.woah.org/app/uploads/2021/09/a-ahg-amr-jan2018.pdf. Accessed 20 Feb 2025.
- Poyart C, Jardy L, Quesne G, Berche P, Trieu-Cuot P. Genetic basis of antibiotic resistance in Streptococcus agalactiae strains isolated in a French hospital. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2003;47:794–7.
- Bozdogan B, Latifa B, Ming-Shang K, Yurek D, Farley K, Stockman B, et al. A new resistance gene, linB, conferring resistance to lincosamides by nucleotidylation in enterococcus faecium HM1025. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 1999;43:925–9.
- Otaguiri ES, Belotto Morguette AE, Reis Tavares E, Capella dos Santos PM, Tadachi Morey A, Cardoso JD, et al. Commensal Streptococcus agalactiae isolated from patients seen at University Hospital of Londrina, Paraná, Brazil: capsular types, genotyping, antimicrobial susceptibility and virulence determinants. BMC Microbiol. 2013;13:297.
- Baron MJ, Bolduc GR, Goldberg MB, Aupérin TC, Madoff LC. Alpha C protein of group B Streptococcus binds host cell surface glycosaminoglycan and enters cells by an actin-dependent mechanism. J Biol Chem. 2004;279:24714–23.
- 15. Baker JR, Pritchard DG. Action pattern and substrate specificity of the hyaluronan lyase from group B streptococci. Biochem J. 2000;348:465–71.
- Doran KS, Chang JCW, Benoit VM, Eckmann L, Nizet V. Group B streptococcal b-hemolysin/cytolysin promotes invasion of human lung epithelial cells and the release of interleukin-8. J Infect Dis. 2002;185:196–203.
- Doran KS, Liu GY, Nizet V. Group B streptococcal β-hemolysin/cytolysin activates neutrophil signaling pathways in brain endothelium and contributes to development of meningitis. J Clin Invest. 2003;112:736–44.
- Reiss A, Braun JS, Jäger K, Freyer D, Laube G, Bührer C, et al. Bacterial poreforming cytolysins induce neuronal damage in a rat model of neonatal meningitis. J Infect Dis. 2011;203:393–400.
- 19. Rajagopal L. Understanding the regulation of Group B Streptococcal virulence factors. Future Microbiol. 2009;4:201–21.
- Kardos S, Tóthpál A, Laub K, Kristóf K, Ostorházi E, Rozgonyi F, et al. High prevalence of group B streptococcus ST17 hypervirulent clone among non-pregnant patients from a Hungarian venereology clinic. BMC Infect Dis. 2019;19:1009.
- Slotved HC, Kong F, Lambertsen L, Sauer S, Gilbert GL. Serotype IX, a proposed new Streptococcus agalactiae serotype. J Clin Microbiol. 2007;45:2929–36.
- Poyart C, Tazi A, Réglier-Poupet H, Billoët A, Tavares N, Raymond J, et al. Multiplex PCR assay for rapid and accurate capsular typing of group B streptococci. J Clin Microbiol. 2007;45:1985–8.
- Cieslewicz MJ, Chaffin D, Glusman G, Kasper D, Madan A, Rodrigues S, et al. Structural and genetic diversity of group B Streptococcus capsular polysaccharides. Infect Immun. 2005;73:3096–103.
- Haguenoer E, Baty G, Pourcel C, Lartigue MF, Domelier AS, Rosenau A, et al. A multi locus variable number of tandem repeat analysis (MLVA) scheme for Streptococcus agalactiae genotyping. BMC Microbiol. 2011;11:171.
- 25. Castro Abreu Pinto T, Silva Costa N, Vianna Souza AR, Da Silva LG, de Almeida Corrêa AB, Gimenis Fernandes F, et al. Distribution of serotypes and evaluation of antimicrobial susceptibility among human and bovine

Streptococcus agalactiae strains isolated in Brazil between 1980 and 2006. Braz J Infect Dis. 2013;17:131–6.

- Luan SL, Granlund M, Sellin M, Lagergård T, Spratt BG, Norgren M. Multilocus sequence typing of Swedish invasive group B streptococcus isolates indicates a neonatally associated genetic lineage and capsule switching. J Clin Microbiol. 2005;43:3727–33.
- Radtke A, Bruheim T, Afset JE, Bergh K. Multiple-locus variant-repeat assay (MLVA) is a useful tool for molecular epidemiologic analysis of Streptococcus agalactiae strains causing bovine mastitis. Vet Microbiol. 2012;157:398–404.
- Bustamante AV, Sanso AM. Chapter 14 Multiple-Locus Variable-Number of Tandem-Repeats Analysis as Subtyping Technique for Food-Borne Pathogens. In: Alina Maria Holban und Alexandru Mihai Grumezescu, editors. Microbial Contamination and Food Degradation, Handbook of Food Bioengineering. Academic Press; 2018. p. 423–42.
- 29. Hunter PR, Gaston MA. Numerical Index of the Discriminatory Ability of Typing Systems: an Application of Simpson's Index of Diversity. 1988.
- Feuerschuette OHM, Alves EV, Scheffer MC, Vilela APP, Barazzetti FH, Feuerschuette HM, et al. Genetic diversity and antimicrobial resistance of invasive, noninvasive and colonizing group B Streptococcus isolates in southern Brazil. Access Microbiol. 2022;4:acmi000370.
- Pang M, Sun L, He T, Bao H, Zhang L, Zhou Y, et al. Molecular and virulence characterization of highly prevalent Streptococcus agalactiae circulated in bovine dairy herds. Vet Res. 2017;48:65.
- Franken C, Haase G, Brandt C, Weber-Heynemann J, Martin S, Lämmler C, et al. Horizontal gene transfer and host specificity of beta-haemolytic streptococci: the role of a putative composite transposon containing scpB and Imb. Mol Microbiol. 2001;41:925–35.
- Mohamed Sadaka S, Abdelsalam Aly H, Ahmed Meheissen M, Orief YI, Mohamed AB. Group B streptococcal carriage, antimicrobial susceptibility, and virulence related genes among pregnant women in Alexandria. Egypt Alexandria Med J. 2018;54:69–76.
- Parasana DK, Javia BB, Fefar DT, Barad DB, Ghodasara SN. Detection of virulence associated genes in Streptococcus agalactiae isolated from bovine mastitis. Iran J Vet Res. 2022;23:275–9.
- Gentilini E, Denamiel G, Betancor A, Rebuelto M, Rodriguez Fermepin M, De Torres RA. Antimicrobial susceptibility of coagulase-negative staphylococci isolated from bovine mastitis in Argentina. J Dairy Sci. 2002;85:1913–7.
- González Pereyra V, Pol M, Pastorino F, Herrero A. Quantification of antimicrobial usage in dairy cows and preweaned calves in Argentina. Prev Vet Med. 2015;122:273–9.
- Hernandez L, Bottini E, Cadona J, Cacciato C, Monteavaro C, Bustamante A, et al. Multidrug resistance and molecular characterization of streptococcus agalactiae isolates from dairy cattle with mastitis. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2021;11:647324.
- Bottini E, Gerez G, Hernandez L, Monteavaro C, Sanso A. Antimicrobial resistance of Streptococcus spp. isolated from bovine clinical mastitis in Argentinean Mar y Sierras Region Dairy Farms. InVet. 2022;24:1–10.
- Chow JW. Aminoglycoside resistance in enterococci. Clin Infect Dis. 2000;31:586–9.
- 40. Da Silva JR, Castro GDADC, Gonçalves MS, Custódio DADC, Mian GF, Da Costa GM. In vitro antimicrobial susceptibility and genetic resistance determinants of Streptococcus agalactiae isolated from mastitic cows in Brazilian dairy herds. Semin Cienc Agrar. 2017;38:2581–94.
- Poyart C, Pellegrini E, Marceau M, Baptista M, Jaubert F, Lamy MC, et al. Attenuated virulence of Streptococcus agalactiae deficient in D-alanyllipoteichoic acid is due to an increased susceptibility to defensins and phagocytic cells. Mol Microbiol. 2003;49:1615–25.
- Gao J, Yu FQ, Luo LP, He JZ, Hou RG, Zhang HQ, et al. Antibiotic resistance of Streptococcus agalactiae from cows with mastitis. Vet J. 2012;194:423–4.
- Dogan B, Schukken YH, Santisteban C, Boor KJ. Distribution of serotypes and antimicrobial resistance genes among Streptococcus agalactiae isolates from bovine and human hosts. J Clin Microbiol. 2005;43:5899–906.
- 44. Duarte RS, Bellei BC, Miranda OP, Brito MAVP, Teixeira LM. Distribution of antimicrobial resistance and virulence-related genes among Brazilian group B streptococci recovered from bovine and human sources. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2005;49:97–103.
- 45. Teatero S, Ramoutar E, McGeer A, Li A, Melano RG, Wasserscheid J, et al. Clonal Complex 17 Group B Streptococcus strains causing invasive

disease in neonates and adults originate from the same genetic pool. Sci Rep. 2016;6:20047.

- 46. Campisi E, Rosini R, Ji W, Guidotti S, Rojas-López M, Geng G, et al. Genomic analysis reveals multi-drug resistance clusters in group B Streptococcus CC17 hypervirulent isolates causing neonatal invasive disease in Southern Mainland China. Front Microbiol. 2016;7:1265.
- Betriu C, Culebras E, Rodríguez-Avial I, Gómez M, Sánchez BA, Picazo JJ. In vitro activities of tigecycline against erythromycin-resistant streptococcus pyogenes and streptococcus agalactiae: mechanisms of macrolide and tetracycline resistance. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2004;48:323–5.
- Culebras E, Rodriguez-Avial I, Betriu C, Redondo M, Picazo JJ. Macrolide and tetracycline resistance and molecular relationships of clinical strains of Streptococcus agalactiae. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2002;46:1574–6.
- Da Cunha V, Davies MR, Douarre PE, Rosinski-Chupin I, Margarit I, Spinali S, et al. Streptococcus agalactiae clones infecting humans were selected and fixed through the extensive use of tetracycline. Nat Commun. 2014;5:4544.
- Wataradee S, Boonserm T, Samngamnim S, Ajariyakhajorn K. Characterization of virulence factors and antimicrobial susceptibility of streptococcus agalactiae associated with bovine mastitis cases in Thailand. Animals. 2024;14:447.
- Lamy MC, Dramsi S, Billoët A, Réglier-Poupet H, Tazi A, Raymond J, et al. Rapid detection of the "highly virulent" group B streptococcus ST-17 clone. Microbes Infect. 2006;8:1714–22.
- Imperi M, Pataracchia M, Alfarone G, Baldassarri L, Orefici G, Creti R. A multiplex PCR assay for the direct identification of the capsular type (la to IX) of Streptococcus agalactiae. J Microbiol Methods. 2010;80:212–4.
- Smith TC, Roehl SA, Pillai P, Li S, Marrs CF, Foxman B. Distribution of novel and previously investigated virulence genes in colonizing and invasive isolates of Streptococcus Agalactiae. Epidemiol Infect. 2007;135:1046–54.
- Bidet P, Brahimi N, Chalas CL, Aujard Y, Bingen E. Molecular characterization of serotype III group B-Streptococcus isolates causing neonatal meningitis. J Infect Dis. 2003;188:1132–7.
- 55. Martins ER, Melo-Cristino J, Ramirez M. Evidence for rare capsular switching in Streptococcus agalactiae. J Bacteriol. 2010;192:1361–9.
- Zhou L, Yu SJ, Gao W, Yao KH, Shen AD, Yang YH. Serotype distribution and antibiotic resistance of 140 pneumococcal isolates from pediatric patients with upper respiratory infections in Beijing, 2010. Vaccine. 2011;29:7704–10.
- Lopardo HA, Vidal P, Jeric P, Centron D, Paganini H, Facklam RR, et al. Six-month multicenter study on invasive infections due to group B streptococci in Argentina. J Clin Microbiol. 2003;41:4688–94.
- Noller AC, McEllistrem MC, Pacheco AGF, Boxrud DJ, Harrison LH. Multilocus variable-number tandem repeat analysis distinguishes outbreak and sporadic escherichia coli O157:H7 isolates. J Clin Microbiol. 2003;41:5389–97.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.